

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/613,822	BENITSCH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel Miller	1775	

**All Participants:**

(1) Daniel Miller.

(2) Richard Beck.

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 December 2005

**Time:** 11:00

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*None*

Claims discussed:  
*1-19*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner telephoned applicant's representative and it was agreed to amend claims 5 and 6 in order to more clearly define the claimed subject matter and to remove indefiniteness from the claims. It was also agreed to cancel non-elected claims 12-18. Agreement to examiner's amendment led to allowance of all remaining claims.